# IBO's Programmatic Review of the 2006 Budget as of the November Financial Plan

# Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)



New York City Independent Budget Office

Ronnie Lowenstein, Director George Sweeting, Deputy Director Preston Niblack, Deputy Director Frank Posillico, Deputy Director 110 William St., 14th Floor . New York, NY 10038 Tel. (212) 442-0632 . Fax (212) 442-0350 e-mail: ibo@ibo.nyc.ny.us . http://www.ibo.nyc.ny.us

#### **Introductory Note**

IBO's programmatic reviews of the 2006 budgets of selected city agencies are intended to assist the public and elected officials better understand the allocation of budgetary resources to city services by presenting agency budgets in a way that is more closely aligned with the actual programs, functions, and services of major city agencies.

The current city budget presentation inhibits understanding and participation in three ways.

First, agency budgets, organized into broad *units of appropriation*, do not easily allow users to understand how much money is being spent from one year to the next on the programs and services that citizens and their elected representatives care about—programs such as job training, childhood lead-poisoning prevention, HIV/AIDS prevention and treatment, after-school programs, immigrant services, affordable housing construction, and recreation programs.

Second, budget proposals are presented in terms of Financial Plan changes, rather than in terms of year-to-year comparisons. A proposed cut, or "PEG," of \$1 million in a program is presented without information on how much was previously projected for spending on that program in previous Financial Plans, and whether the \$1 million "cut" would leave spending lower, higher, or the same as previous years' spending levels. This presentation often manifests itself in annual debates over "hidden cuts" and what has been "baselined" in the Financial Plan. This manner of presenting the budget makes it difficult to understand the consequences for agency programs of budgetary decisions.

Finally, it is virtually impossible to link spending decisions to program results. Although at one time the city was a trailblazer in performance reporting, the lack of linkage between performance data reported in the Mayor's Management Report and elsewhere, and clear spending information, makes it difficult for citizens, elected officials, and even agency managers to know what they are getting for their money and to evaluate alternatives. Our programmatic budget presentations integrate existing performance data from the Mayor's Management Report, Capstat, and other sources produced by the Mayor's office alongside spending figures, to provide a unified presentation of both spending and performance.

Our goal in preparing these budget reviews has been to hew as closely as possible to how the agencies themselves present their organization, programs, and services, on their official Web sites and other sources, including using the agencies' own language to describe programs in most cases. While we have sought, and in most cases received, considerable input from agencies in the preparation of the program budget reviews, our presentations are not necessarily how the Mayor's budget office or the agencies themselves would present their budgets, were they to do so in programmatic terms. Nonetheless, we think that our presentations can be instructive and point the way toward how to improve understanding of the city's budget in a way that enhances public participation in the budget process.

IBO will periodically update our program budgets. We will continue to separately issue our analysis of the Preliminary Budget, including of selected agency budgets, as we have every year as required by City Charter section 246.

We welcome your comments or questions, which you may direct to IBO at (212) 442-0632, or by e-mail to <a href="mailto:ibo@ibo.nyc.ny.us">ibo@ibo.nyc.ny.us</a>.

#### MISSION

Using a variety of preservation, development and enforcement strategies, HPD strives to improve the availability, affordability and quality of housing in New York City. HPD works with private, public and community partners to strengthen neighborhoods and enable more New Yorkers to become homeowners or to rent well-maintained, affordable housing.

#### AGENCY DESCRIPTION

HPD is responsible for enforcing the Housing Maintenance Code, and for increasing the supply of high-quality housing in New York City. The agency responds to complaints from tenants regarding housing conditions, and when appropriate, repairs serious maintenance deficiencies and pursues enforcement actions against landlords. HPD is charged with operating the city owned housing stock—although the supply of these units has fallen considerably in recent years. HPD also administers a significant number of federal rental subsidies, including about 26,000 Section 8 vouchers.

#### FIVE-YEAR EXPENDITURE TRENDS BY PROGRAM AREA

Department of Housing Preservation and Development						
Dollars in millions					2006	
	2002	2003	2004	2005	Current	
	_ Actual	_ Actual	_ Actual	_ Actual	Modified	
	Expenses	Expenses	Expenses	Expenses	Budget	
Spending by Program Area						
Preservation	\$66.5	\$63.5	\$69.6	\$78.9	\$95.9	
Housing Operations	270.0	262.0	292.3	327.9	333.1	
Development	17.5	16.9	14.6	14.9	41.4	
Administration	53.9	47.4	42.1	52.1	54.0	
TOTAL	\$407.9	\$389.8	\$418.6	\$473.9	\$524.4	
Spending by Object						
Personal Services	\$119.3	\$115.5	\$115.6	\$128.9	\$133.2	
OTPS	288.6	274.4	303.0	345.0	391.3	
Full-time Personnel*	2,353	2,292	2,492	2,582	2,609	
Capital Commitments	\$438.3	\$311.2	\$283.4	\$423.1	\$627.7	
SOURCE: IBO.						
NOTE: *F.: U.C	. A -11 6	00 ( 00/	00 (		0.10000	

NOTE: \*Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through 2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

#### **PROGRAM CHART**

Program Areas	Programs	Service Areas
Preservation	Anti-Abandonment	Oct vice Areas
Preservation	7 11 11 7 12 21 1 1 2 1 1 1	
	Code Enforcement	
	Emergency Repair Program	
	Lead-Based Paint	Inspections & Support
		Emergency Repairs
	Housing Litigation Division	
	Demolition	
Housing Operations	Management and Disposition	Operations
		Privatization
		Emergency Housing
		Article 7A
	Rental Assistance	
Development	Housing Finance	
	Homeownership	
	Special Needs Housing	
	Planning	
Administration	Fair Housing	
	NYCHA	
	Research and Policy	
	Housing Supervision	
	City Council Initiatives	Anti-Eviction Legal Services
		Community Consultants
		Other Contracts
	General Administrative	

Preservation

#### PROGRAM AREA: PRESERVATION

HPD is charged with enforcing the city's Housing Maintenance Code, thereby preserving the quality of the city's housing stock. The city's preservation initiatives focus on education, investment and enforcement in privately-owned buildings. HPD's preservation programs and spending on these activities are largely demand driven. For example, during particularly cold winters, HPD typically sees an increase in heat and hot water complaints, and hence does more inspections and performs more emergency repairs.

The preservation program area includes six main programs: anti-abandonment activities, housing code enforcement, the emergency repair program, lead-based paint control, the housing litigation division, and demolition.

Preservation			
Dollars in thousands			
			2006
	2004	2005	Current
	Actual	Actual	Modified
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$69,620	\$78,944	\$95,904
Personal services	41,670	52,286	52,919
Other than personal services	27,949	26,658	42,985
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$15,147
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	489
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	77,393
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	2,475
Intracity	n.a.	n.a.	399
Full-time Positions	934	1,013	1,017
Programs			
Anti-Abandonment	\$6,757	\$7,259	\$7,101
Code Enforcement	20,498	21,100	23,590
Emergency Repair Program	18,218	16,643	19,686
Lead-Based Paint	11,708	24,633	33,703
Housing Litigation Division	6,672	5,223	5,490
Demolition	5,767	4,086	6,334
SOURCE: IBO.	s of June 30 fo	or 2002 through	2005: 26

NOTE: \*Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through 2005; as

of Nov. 30 for 2006.

Performance Results: See program sections.

Preservation

#### **Anti-Abandonment**

HPD has developed a broad-based initiative to address the City's at-risk housing stock. These strategies include assessment of physical and financial needs of distressed properties, outreach to building owners to encourage code and tax compliance, and expanded education and support services for owners.

The Anti-Abandonment Program includes the Neighborhood Preservation Consultants: not-for-profit organizations contracted with HPD to provide early intervention, preservation and anti-abandonment services throughout the five boroughs. The NPCs also assist HPD in identifying and assessing at-risk buildings.

Anti-Abandonment					
Dollars in thousands			2006		
	2004	2005	Current		
	Actual	_Actual	Modified		
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget		
Spending	\$6,757	\$7,259	\$7,101		
PS	4,577	5,014	4,658		
OTPS	2,180	2,245	2,443		
Funding					
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$200		
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	6,891		
Intracity	n.a.	n.a.	10		
Full-time Positions	91	100	101		
SOURCE: IBO.					
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through					
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.					

Anti-Abandonment Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Total Properties Assessed Properties Assessed and Determined to be at	Output	6,249	6,276	7,540	7,116
Risk of Abandonment	Output	1,404	1,330	2,305	1,777
At Risk Properties with Treatment Commenced	Output	57%	63%	73%	76%
Properties with Completed Treatment Outcomes	Output	987	958	1,059	1,303
Completed repair agreements		16%	14%	23%	12%
Education/counseling		29%	4%	22%	12%
Code enforcement actions completed		11%	24%	10%	33%
Loans committed		5%	10%	15%	13%
Other		39%	48%	30%	29%

Preservation

#### **Code Enforcement**

HPD enforces compliance with the New York City Housing Maintenance Code and the New York State Multiple Dwelling Law. HPD sends inspectors to respond to complaints about maintenance deficiencies, and issue violations where appropriate.

Code Enforcement  Dollars in thousands			2006
	2004	2005	Current
	Actual Expenses	Actual Expenses	Modified Budget
Spending	\$20,498	\$21,100	\$23,590
PS	18,636	19,444	22,021
OTPS	1,862	1,656	1,569
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$9,162
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	14,428
Full-time Positions	362	389	395

SOURCE: IBO.

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through

2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

Code Enforcement Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Inspections Attempted	Output	274,618	565,417	626,287	764,492
Inspections Completed	Output	NA	490,737	521,086	576,042
Violations Issued	Output	319,300	314,300	311,530	482,674
Hours to respond to an emergency complaint (average)	Service Quality	2.5	3.4	14.3	10.9
Inspections per team/day	Efficiency	9.0	9.3	8.9	9.2
SOURCE: Mayor's Management Rep	ort.				•

Preservation

#### **Emergency Repair Program**

If an emergency condition is verified by a code inspector, the owner and/or managing agent of the property will be notified of the emergency condition and instructed to repair it. If the owner fails to make the necessary repairs in a timely manner, HPD's Emergency Repair Program (ERP) may repair the condition. If ERP repairs the emergency condition, the City will bill the owner for the cost of repairs. If the owner fails to pay the bill within 60 days, a lien is placed on the property.

ERP repairs are made both by HPD staff and by outside contractors paid by the agency.

Emergency Repair Program			
Dollars in thousands			2006
	2004	2005	Current
	Actual	Actual	Modified
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$18,218	\$16,643	\$19,686
PS	5,331	5,305	6,318
OTPS	12,887	11,338	13,367
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$87
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	19,598
Full-time Positions	102	100	102
SOURCE: IBO.			
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual a	as of June 30 fo	or 2002 through	n 2005; as

of Nov. 30 for 2006.

Emergency Repair					
Program Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Violations Corrected by HPD	Workload	19%	17%	14%	19%
Repairs completed	Output	17,219	24,745	27,114	30,939
Average cost per repair	Efficiency	\$781	\$681	\$809	\$886
SOURCE: Mayor's Management R	eport.				

Preservation

#### **Lead-Based Paint**

Prior to 1960, lead-based paint was frequently used in residential spaces. Use of lead-based paint was banned in 1960 because ingestion of paint chips or dust was found to cause significant health problems in young children. However, the majority of the city's housing stock pre-dates 1960, and remnants of lead-based paint remain in many apartments. HPD is responsible for identifying lead-based paint hazards, placing violations, and when necessary, remediating the condition. Local Law 1 of 2004 overhauled the city's approach to addressing lead hazards. As a result, HPD had to expand its lead program in fiscal year 2005.

There are two service areas within the lead-based paint program: inspections and support, and emergency repairs. The inspections and support area includes the inspectors who respond to complaints about peeling paint, as well as educational programs about lead abatement, etc. The emergency repair service area performs corrects lead-paint violations when the landlord does not do so within statutorily defined time-limits.

Lead-Based Paint  Dollars in thousands			2006
	2004	2005	Current
	Actual	Actual	Modified
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$11,708	\$24,633	\$33,703
PS	6,917	17,197	13,684
OTPS	4,791	7,436	20,019
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$3,189
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	140
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	27,510
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	2,475
IC	n.a.	n.a.	389
Full-time Positions	364	413	408
Service areas			
Inspections & Support	\$8,952	\$19,520	\$20,728
Emergency Repairs	2,756	5,113	12,975

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through 2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

Lead-Based Paint Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Lead Complaints	Workload	9,300	10,205	12,782	41,776
Lead Violations Issued	Output	8,800	10,600	10,600	35,700
Emergency Repair Orders Issued	Output	5,410	5,665	5,646	11,781
Lead Hazard Reduction Completed Average Cost of Repair Work Performed by	Output	1,182	726	614	1,304
HPD	Efficiency	\$1,771	\$1,752	\$1,369	\$1,934
SOURCE: Mayor's Management Reports.					

Preservation

#### **Housing Litigation Division**

HPD litigates Housing Court cases to compel unresponsive private owners of residential property to correct conditions that violate the City's Housing Maintenance Code. HPD both initiates cases and supports tenant-initiated actions.

Housing Litigation  Dollars in thousands			2006
Dollars III (nousarius	2004	2005	Current
	_ Actual	Actual	Modified
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$6,672	\$5,223	\$5,490
PS	5,390	4,680	5,020
OTPS	1,282	543	470
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$1,533
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	3,958
Full-time Positions	93	89	92
COLIBCE: IBO			

SOURCE: IBO.

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through 2005; as

of Nov. 30 for 2006.

Housing Litigation Division Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Outstanding Code Compliance Cases at Start of Year	Workload	8,284	8,499	7,890	5,283
Code Compliance Cases Opened	Workload	13,630	13,896	13,034	12,673
Code Compliance Cases Closed	Output	10,817	12,166	13,786	12,568
Judgments and Settlements Collected (millions)	Outcome	\$2.01	\$3.28	\$3.73	\$3.63
SOURCES: Mayor's Management Report, HPD Housing Operations Management System Reports.					

Preservation

#### Demolition

HPD's Demolition Unit may be called on to abate hazardous conditions when the Department of Buildings (DOB) issues a Declaration of Emergency ordering an owner to demolish a structurally unsafe building, if the owner fails do so. Buildings not declared emergencies, but which are vacant, open, and accessible, may be sealed based on a DOB order by HPD's Emergency Repair Bureau to protect the public and the property. In both cases, HPD, through the Department of Finance, will bill the owner for the cost of the demolition or sealing. If the owner fails to pay the bill within 60 days, a lien is placed on the property.

Demolition			
Dollars in thousands			2006
	2004	2005	Current
	Actual	Actual	Modified
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$5,767	\$4,086	\$6,334
PS	819	646	1,218
OTPS	4,948	3,440	5,117
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$977
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	349
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	5,008
Full-time Positions	15	11	11
SOURCE: IBO			

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through

2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

**Housing Operations** 

#### PROGRAM AREA: HOUSING OPERATIONS

The primary responsibility of the Office of Housing Operations is the maintenance of occupied privately-owned and city-owned buildings. The Division of Property Management manages city-owned residential and commercial properties. The Division also provides emergency shelter for households displaced as a result of fire or emergency vacate orders. The Division of Alternative Management Programs designs initiatives to return buildings that are currently in city ownership to responsible private owners. The Division of Tenant Resources provides low income families with housing made affordable through the use of Section 8 vouchers that subsidize monthly rent payments.

The housing operations program area includes two main programs: management and disposition, and rental assistance.

Housing Operations			
Dollars in thousands	2004 Actual Expenses	2005 Actual Expenses	2006 Current Modified Budget
Spending	\$292,277	\$327,941	\$333,146
Personal services	37,918	38,544	39,333
Other than personal services	254,359	289,397	293,813
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$15,346
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	11,462
State	n.a.	n.a.	932
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	51,391
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	245,656
Intracity	n.a.	n.a.	8,359
Full-time Positions	838	754	746
Programs			
Management and Disposition	\$96,293	\$91,526	\$91,477
Rental Assistance	195,984	236,415	241,669
SOURCE: IBO.			
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as Nov. 30 for 2006.	of June 30 for	2002 through	2005; as of

**Performance Results:** See program sections.

**Housing Operations** 

#### Management and Disposition

This division has overall responsibility for the city-owned housing stock and vacant land that is under HPD's jurisdiction.

Management and Disposition			
Dollars in thousands			2006
	2004	2005	Current
	Actual	Actual	Modified
<u> </u>	Expenses		Budget
Spending	\$96,293		\$91,477
PS	34,931	31,838	34,086
OTPS	61,362	59,688	57,391
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$15,316
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	11,462
State	n.a.	n.a.	932
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	51,391
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	4,017
Intracity	n.a.	n.a.	8,359
Full-time Positions	661	566	553
Service areas			
Operations	\$51,638	\$38,640	\$42,526
Privatization	22,062	27,172	21,993
Emergency Housing	20,510	24,131	25,510
Article 7A	2,083	1,582	1,448
SOURCE: IBO. NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as o Nov. 30 for 2006.	f June 30 for 2	2002 through 2	2005; as of

Since 1978, the city had become "the landlord of last resort" when it foreclosed on residential buildings in tax arrears and took over management. At its peak, the city owned over 100,000 units of mostly dilapidated multi-family housing occupied by a low-income population. While these properties are in city-ownership, HPD has the responsibility for managing and operating this housing. However, HPD is in the process of privatizing these city-owned units. HPD has programs that target occupied and vacant city-owned buildings for rehabilitation and sale to community-based owners, such as local entrepreneurs, neighborhood nonprofit housing organizations, or qualified tenant groups. Ninety-five percent of the units have now been returned to the private sector.

This program includes the Emergency Housing Services Bureau, which provides emergency relocation services to tenants displaced as a result of fires or vacate orders issued by the Department of Buildings, Fire Department or HPD Code Enforcement. HPD provides tenants with temporary housing at one of four family centers or at Red Cross-contracted hotels and facilities.

Finally, HPD also operates the Article 7A program. Under the Article 7A program, administrators are appointed by the Court (pursuant to New York State Law) to operate

**Housing Operations** 

privately owned buildings that have been abandoned by their owners, resulting in conditions that are dangerous to the tenants' life, health and safety. The administrators act under Court Order to collect rents and use the money to provide essential services to the tenants and make necessary repairs. Housing in the Article 7A program remains in private ownership, but the program is managed along with those targeting city-owned units.

#### **Performance Results:**

Management and Disposition Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
City-Owned Occupied Units	Workload	5,715	4,049	1,970	1,114
City-Owned Vacant Units	Workload	3,762	2,370	1,806	1,294
Rent Billed, City-Owned Units (Millions) Rent Collected, City-Owned Units	Output	\$29.4	\$26.4	\$24.4	\$21.5
(Millions)	Output	\$24.7	\$24.1	\$22.3	\$18.9
Average Rent per City-Owned Unit	Service Quality	\$272	\$271	\$302	\$325
Units sold	Output	2,941	2,493	1,843	1,855
Units Sold to Tenants	Outcome	13%	21%	30%	18%
Units Sold to Nonprofits	Outcome	47%	43%	31%	54%
Units Sold to Community-Based Entrepreneurs	Outcome	40%	36%	39%	28%
Average Families in HPD Shelters	Output	NA	NA	228	182
Units Receiving 7A Financial Assistance	Output	313	425	110	NA

SOURCES: Mayor's Management Reports, HPD HOMES Reports, Department of Homeless Services monthly census reports, New York City Consolidated Plan Performance Reports.

NOTES: 2004 families in shelters reflects May and June only. Article 7A units on calendar year basis.

**Housing Operations** 

#### **Rental Assistance**

HPD administers federal rental assistance for over 25,000 households in New York City. The bulk of the rental subsidies are Section 8 vouchers and project-based Section 8, although HPD also handles Shelter Plus Care funding and other rental subsidies targeted to homeless individuals and households.

HPD's Section 8 program is substantially smaller than that operated by the New York City Housing Authority. HPD generally targets its Section 8 assistance to very specific categories of New Yorkers, including homeless households; households that are residing in a building owned by the city which is in need of substantial renovation, in which case the voucher will be issued to allow the applicant to locate permanent, alternate housing; and households residing in buildings that have been developed with financial assistance from HPD or buildings for which HPD maintains regulatory responsibility. HPD also administers "enhanced vouchers," which are given to tenants when building owners pre-pay federal loans to opt out of project-based subsidy programs, or opt not to renew project-based Section 8 contracts.

The Rental Assistance program also includes some limited social service and asset accumulation initiatives for households receiving Section 8, in particular the Family Self Sufficiency Program.

Rental Assistance  Dollars in thousands			2006
Dollars III triousarius	2004	2005	Current
	Actual	Actual	Modified
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$195,984	\$236,415	\$241,669
PS	2,987	6,706	5,247
OTPS	192,997	229,709	236,422
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$30
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	241,639
Full-time Positions	177	188	193
SOLIDOE: IBO			

SOURCE: IBO

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through 2005; as of

Nov. 30 for 2006.

#### Performance Results:

Rental Assistance Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Households on Section 8 Waitlist	Workload	3,352	3,355	1,686	NA
Households Receiving Basic Section 8 Households Receiving Enhanced	Output	12,725	19,430	19,671	21,952
Vouchers	Output	300	371	3,437	2,703
Family Self Sufficiency Participants	Output	1,272	2,143	2,372	2,294

SOURCE: Public Housing Authority Approved Plans.

NOTE: Different performance measures taken at different points during the year.

Development

#### PROGRAM AREA: DEVELOPMENT

Development's primary function is building the pipeline for affordable housing development by identifying privately-owned sites and assemblages for housing development, arranging for acquisition funding when necessary, collaborating with other agencies with land and buildings suitable for housing development and creating the appropriate programs and policies to facilitate housing development.

New housing development is a major activity for HPD, but much of the spending for this program area takes place in the capital budget. As a result, development accounts for only 3 percent of HPD's expense budget spending.

There are four programs within the development program area: housing finance, homeownership, special needs housing, and planning.

Development			2006
Dollars in thousands	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	Current Modified
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$14,567	\$14,865	\$41,421
Personal services	10,483	9,006	10,484
Other than personal services	4,083	5,859	30,937
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$7,159
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	1,388
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	4,335
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	28,538
Full-time Positions	149	199	216
Programs			
Housing Finance	\$7,295	\$6,067	\$29,613
Homeownership	1,382	3,800	5,807
Special Needs Housing	1,726	1,010	1,130
Planning	4,164	3,988	4,871
SOURCE: IBO.			
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as	of June 30 for	2002 through	
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.			

**Performance Results:** See program sections.

Development

#### **Housing Finance**

This program is responsible for operating HPD's initiatives which provide financing to construct or rehabilitate multi-family housing. Administration of the department's tax incentive programs, such as the 421a exemption for new construction and the J-51 exemption and abatement for rehabilitation, also falls under the rubric of Housing Finance.

The funds used to actually build or rehabilitate housing through mechanisms such as the Participation Loan Program, the Third Party Transfer Program, and ANCHOR, are spent through the capital budget. The expense budget funds shown below cover costs associated with operating these initiatives.

Housing Finance Dollars in thousands	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Current Modified		
Spending	Expenses \$7,295	Expenses \$6,067	Budget \$29,613		
PS	4,955	3,343	3,478		
OTPS	2,340	2,724	26,135		
Funding					
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$6,237		
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	419		
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	22,957		
Full-time Positions	63	76	82		
SOURCE: IBO.					
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through					
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.					

Housing Finance Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Construction Starts	Output	11,830	8,329	10,201	18,252
Construction Completions	Output	8,265	8,400	7,991	15,173
Units with new 421a Exemptions	Output	3,528	6,237	6,668	11,881
Units with new J-51 Exemptions/Abatements	Output	70,145	74,005	117,503	NA
SOURCES: Mayor's Management Reports, Departme Development "HOMES" reports, Rent Guidelines Boar		servation and			

Development

#### Homeownership

HPD has a number of homeownership programs that create or renovate one- to four-family homes for purchase by owner-occupants. New home construction initiatives include the Partnership New Homes and New Foundations programs. Existing homes are renovated through the HomeWorks and StoreWorks programs. HPD also coordinates the efforts of city, state, and federal agencies to create large scale homeownership and retail opportunities in neighborhoods like Arverne in the Rockaways.

Again, the initiatives to build or rehabilitate homes are largely funded through the capital budget. Beginning in 2004, HPD also offers down payment assistance to first-time homebuyers which is paid for through the expense budget, and which is included in the homeownership program.

<b>\$1,382</b> 1,060	\$3,800	\$5,807
1,060		Ψ0,001
	1,214	1,803
322	2,586	4,004
n.a.	n.a.	\$914
n.a.	n.a.	748
n.a.	n.a.	3,593
n.a.	n.a.	552
12	29	35
	n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	322 2,586  n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through

2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

Homeownership Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Units in Homeownership Buildings Completed with HPD Assistance	Output	2,060	1,564	1,628	2,112
Homeowners Receiving Down Payment Assistance	Output	NA	NA	9	88
SOURCE: Mayor's Management Reports.			•	•	•

Development

#### **Special Needs Housing**

Special Needs Housing is responsible for the Supportive Housing Loan Program, a key vehicle to provide quality permanent housing with on-site services for homeless adults with special needs. The Special Needs Housing program is also responsible for the department's involvement in housing for the low-income elderly and determining how the department can best meet the future needs of other populations with special needs. Like the other programs that are part of the Development program area, spending on Special Needs Housing is largely though the capital budget.

Special Needs Housing Dollars in thousands			2006		
	2004	2005	Current		
	Actual Expenses	Actual Expenses	Modified Budget		
Spending	\$1,726	\$1,010	\$1,130		
PS	305	462	331		
OTPS	1,421	548	798		
Funding					
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	\$221		
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	908		
Full-time Positions	6	12	12		
SOURCE: IBO.					
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through					
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.					

Special Needs Housing Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
Long Term Stayer Homeless Single Adults	Workload	1,391	1,430	1,448	1,416
Special Needs Housing Units Completed	Output	926	507	752	940
Homeless Single Adults Placed in	_				
Supportive Housing	Outcome	1,122	1,141	1,245	1,287
SOURCES: Mayor's Management Reports, Department of Homeless Services Critical Activities Reports.					

Development

#### **Planning**

The Planning Program is responsible for facilitating housing development and comprehensive neighborhood revitalization through community based planning, as well as supporting the agency by providing a variety of technical services, such as Geographic Information Systems expertise.

Planning Dollars in thousands			2006		
	2004	2005	Current		
	Actual Expenses	Actual Expenses	Modified Budget		
Con a malian ar		<u> </u>			
Spending	\$4,164	\$3,988	\$4,871		
PS	4,164	3,988	4,871		
OTPS	0	0	0		
Funding					
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$9		
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	742		
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	4,121		
Full-time Positions	68	82	87		
SOURCE: IBO.					
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through					
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.					

#### PROGRAM AREA: ADMINISTRATION

The HPD Administration Program Area encompasses a wide range of activities, including the support services necessary to run a major agency such as human resources and the budget office, funding pass-throughs for the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) and City Council initiatives, and administration of the Senior Citizen Rent Increase Exemption for households living in subsidized housing.

There are six programs within the Administration Program Area: Fair Housing, NYCHA, Research and Policy, Housing Supervision, City Council Initiatives, and General Administrative.

Administration			2006		
Dollars in thousands	2004	2005	Current		
	Actual Expenses	Actual Expenses	Modified Budget		
	Lxpenses	Lybelises	Duaget		
Spending	\$42,139	\$52,123	\$53,976		
Personal services	25,506	29,053	30,418		
Other than personal services	16,633	23,070	23,558		
Funding					
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$32,728		
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	1,153		
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	15,202		
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	4,260		
Intracity	n.a.	n.a.	633		
Full-time Positions	472	521	532		
Programs					
Fair Housing	\$731	\$622	\$1,033		
NYCHA	1,985	2,187	1,001		
Research and Policy	2,335	4,016	6,514		
Housing Supervision	3,006	3,065	2,258		
City Council Initiatives	2,068	4,697	5,212		
General Administrative	32,013	37,536	37,958		
SOURCE: IBO.					
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through					
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.					

Performance Results: See program sections.

Administration

#### Fair Housing

HPD supervises not-for-profit organizations that provide fair housing services throughout the City, including: counseling building owners and tenants on their rights and responsibilities under various housing and discrimination prevention laws; explaining Housing Court procedures; assisting senior citizens in filling out Senior Citizen Rent Increase Exemption applications; helping low- and moderate-income tenants find housing and conducting fair housing workshops. Fair Housing Counselors also mediate in Housing Court Dispute Resolution Centers and can assist in resolving building owner/tenant disputes.

Fair Housing Dollars in thousands			2006
	2004 Actual	2005	Current Modified
	Expenses	Actual Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$731	\$622	\$1,033
PS	384	276	626
OTPS	347	346	407
Funding			
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	\$648
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	\$385
Full-time Positions	6	6	6
SOURCE: IBO.			

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through

2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

#### Performance Results:

Fair Housing Performance Statistics	Type of Indicator	2002	2003	2004	2005
People Served	Output	55,414	61,379	45,124	NA

SOURCE: New York City Consolidated Plan Performance Reports.

NOTE: Calendar Years.

Administration

#### **New York City Housing Authority**

The New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) owns and manages over 181,000 units of public housing in New York City. Virtually all of the costs of operating this housing are paid for through federal grants specifically for public housing, and rent payments by tenants. However, NYCHA receives a small portion of the city's Community Development Block Grant, which is funneled through the HPD budget. These funds are primarily used for social services for senior citizens living in NYCHA developments.

New York City Housing Authority						
Dollars in thousands			2006			
	2004	2004 2005				
	_ Actual	_Actual	Modified			
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget			
Spending	\$1,985	\$2,187	\$1,001			
PS	0	0	0			
OTPS	1,985	2,187	1,001			
Funding						
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	\$1,001			
Full-time Positions	0	0	0			
SOURCE: IBO.						

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through

2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

Administration

#### Research and Policy

HPD's Research and Policy program provides analytical work that supports all of the agency's other programs. In particular, the Research and Policy program funds the Housing and Vacancy Survey and the Rent Guidelines Board.

The Housing and Vacancy Survey is a report required every three years by State and City rent regulation laws and is designed to determine New York City's overall vacancy rate for rental housing. Considered more broadly, it is the most comprehensive housing market survey conducted in any city in the United States. Although funded by HPD, it is conducted by the US Census Bureau.

The Rent Guidelines Board (RGB) is mandated to establish rent adjustments for the nearly one million dwelling units subject to the Rent Stabilization Law in New York City. The RGB staff is responsible for providing administrative support to the Board and prepares research regarding the economic condition of the stabilized residential real estate industry including operating and maintenance costs, the cost of financing, housing supply and cost of living indices.

Research and Policy					
			2006		
	2004	2005	Current		
	Actual	Actual	Modified		
Dollars in thousands	Expenses	Expenses	Budget		
Spending	\$2,335	\$4,016	\$6,514		
PS	1,005	945	2,148		
OTPS	1,330	3,070	4,366		
Funding					
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$1,046		
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	5,192		
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	276		
Full-time Positions	8	15	26		
SOURCE: IBO.					
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through					
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.					

Administration

#### **Housing Supervision**

2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

The Housing Supervision program is responsible for overseeing the city Mitchell Lama buildings, as well as managing aspects of the Senior Citizen Rent Increase Exemption program for individuals living in Mitchell Lama units.

Housing Supervision  Dollars in thousands			2006	
	2004	2005	Current	
	Actual	Actual	Modified	
	Expenses	Expenses	Budget	
Spending	\$3,006	\$3,065	\$2,258	
PS	2,205	2,085	1,658	
OTPS	801	981	600	
Funding				
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$1,195	
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	1,063	
Full-time Positions	42	44	46	
SOURCE: IBO.				
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through				

Administration

#### **City Council Initiatives**

The City Council regularly adds funding to the HPD budget for housing related-initiatives that members consider particularly important. These funds are typically used to support contracts with nonprofit organizations that in turn provide services to tenants.

There are three service areas that make up this program: Anti-Eviction Legal Services, Community Consultants, and Other Contracts.

The Anti-Eviction Legal Services contracts support free legal services to low-and moderate-income people faced with illegal eviction from their homes, as well as services for low-income Single Room Occupancy housing tenants.

The Community Consultant service area supports tenant organization, code enforcement advocacy, housing court assistance, apartment repossession, and other housing-related public education.

The other contracts are related to training and education for both tenants and landlords.

City Council Initiatives					
Dollars in thousands	2004 Actual Expenses	2005 Actual Expenses	2006 Current Modified Budget		
Spending	\$2,068	\$4,697	\$5,212		
PS	0	0	0		
OTPS	2,068	4,697	5,212		
Funding					
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$5,212		
Full-time Positions	0	0	0		
Service areas					
Anti-Eviction Legal Services	\$407	\$2,500	\$2,500		
Community Consultants	1,311	1,223	1,592		
Other Contracts	350	974	1,120		
SOURCE: IBO.					
NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through					
2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.					

Administration

#### **General Administration**

General administration encompasses the overhead functions necessary to operate an agency with 2,500 employees and a budget of over \$450 million, such as the Commissioner's office, the budget office, legal affairs, human resources, and management information services.

General Administration			
			2006
	2004	2005	Current
	Actual	Actual	Modified
Dollars in thousands	Expenses	Expenses	Budget
Spending	\$32,013	\$37,536	\$37,958
PS	21,912	25,747	25,987
OTPS	10,101	11,789	11,971
Funding			
City	n.a.	n.a.	\$25,275
IFA	n.a.	n.a.	1,153
CDBG	n.a.	n.a.	8,360
Federal	n.a.	n.a.	2,536
Intracity	n.a.	n.a.	633
Full-time Positions	422	462	460

SOURCE: IBO.

NOTE: Full-time personnel: Actual as of June 30 for 2002 through

2005; as of Nov. 30 for 2006.

#### **CAPITAL**

On average, HPD commits about \$365 million in capital funds annually, although there is considerable variation around this average. There is not a good match between HPD expense and capital budget programmatic categories. The expense budget "housing finance" category, for example, includes the support for a variety of new construction and housing preservation programs. IBO has therefore identified eight separate capital programs for HPD.

<b>HPD Capital Commitments by P</b>	rogram Are	ea			
Dollars in thousands					
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Maintenance of City-Owned Housin	ng				
City	\$9,556	\$(6,418)	\$(2,830)	\$(1,625)	\$-
Non-City	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	9,556	(6,418)	(2,830)	(1,625)	-
Privatization of City-Owned Housin		l)			
City		99,187	87,042	124,850	160,246
Non-City	87,096	71,113	23,678	87,639	71,346
Subtotal	253,135	170,300	110,720	212,489	231,592
Privatization of City-Owned Housin	g (Vacant)				
City	911	6,834	2,771	(557)	2,897
Non-City	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	911	6,834	2,771	(557)	2,897
Homeownership Programs					
City	25,666	5,865	10,598	7,902	47,331
Non-City	-	-	-	-	2,691
Subtotal	25,666	5,865	10,598	7,902	50,022
Neighborhood Development					
City	14,003	18,193	24,599	36,265	88,932
Non-City	-	(70)	6,087	(115)	39,177
Subtotal	14,003	18,123	30,686	36,149	128,109
Housing Preservation Programs					
City	81,015	63,885	80,265	86,684	115,242
Non-City	9,581	15,442	6,408	18,927	24,950
Subtotal	90,596	79,328	86,673	105,611	140,192
Special Needs Housing					
City	19,916	10,144	9,354	17,357	22,298
Non-City	20,893	23,210	31,421	42,031	36,696
Subtotal	40,809	33,354	40,774	59,388	58,994
All Other HPD					
City	3,605	3,821	4,036	3,723	15,866
Non-City	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	3,605	3,821	4,036	3,723	15,866
TOTAL	\$438,281	\$311,206	\$283,429	\$423,081	\$627,672

SOURCES: IBO, Office of Management and Budget Monthly Transaction Reports, Office of Management and Budget Capital Commitment Plan.

NOTES: 2002-2005 are actual commitments. 2006 is planned as of September 2005.

The first three categories of capital programs related to the city-owned housing stock. At this point, the majority of the capital commitments are for privatization of the remaining occupied city-owned units. There is little to no capital spending on maintenance of the city-owned units; the day-to-day operation of the units is paid for through the expense budget, and major repairs are part of privatization programs. The negative commitments that appear in the table above reflect funds that were committed in prior years, and then recaptured when the expenditure did not occur on time.

The Homeownership Program line is primarily site acquisition and related costs for a variety of development initiatives. The traditional city programs for building homeownership housing—Partnership and Nehemiah—now receive only limited construction subsidies. However, City Council members often allocated funding to Partnership and Nehemiah, and these dollars are included here.

Neighborhood Development also includes funding for site acquisition, as well as money for HPD's large-scale development projects, such as Melrose Commons and Spring Creek. Also included in this category is the ANCHOR Program, which is a neighborhood revitalization initiative that has created over 300,000 square feet of new commercial and retail space and over 1,000 units of middle-income housing on vacant city-owned land. There is some overlap between Neighborhood Development and Homeownership, as some of the large-scale development initiatives include homeownership housing.

The Housing Preservation program includes all of HPD's loans to private owners to support rehabilitation and maintenance, such as Article 8A, the Participation Loan Program, and Small Buildings Loans. Also part of this program line is the Third Party Transfer program, which supports the rehabilitation of tax-foreclosed, distressed buildings that are being conveyed to third party ownership.

Almost all of the commitments for Special Needs Housing were for the Supportive Housing Loan Program, although there is also some limited funding for other assisted living facilities.

The "Other" line is primarily funding for HPD agency needs, such as office upgrades and computers.